1	PETER S. HECKER (Bar No. 66159)		
ړ	phecker@sheppardmullin.com		
2	DAVID E. SNYDER (Bar No. 262001)		
3	dsnyder@sheppardmullin.com		
	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTO	ON, LLP	
4	Four Embarcadero Center		
5	Seventeenth Floor		
	San Francisco, CA 94111-4109 Telephone: (415) 774-3155		
6	Facsimile: (415) 403-6224		
7	Taesiniie. (113) 103 0221		
′∥	FRANK G. BURT (pro hac vice)		
8			
	W. GLENN MERTEN (pro hac vice) gmerten@cfjblaw.com BRIAN P. PERRYMAN (pro hac vice) bperryman@cfjblaw.com		
9			
0			
_			
1	CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT, P.A. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW		
2	Suite 400 East		
	Weshington DC 20007 0805		
3	Telephone: (202) 965-8100		
4	Facsimile: (202) 965-8104		
	A., C. D. C. 1.		
5	Attorneys for Defendant AMERICAN SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY		
16	This is a second of the second		
	I MITED STATE	SC DISTRICT COLIDT	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
19			
20	STEPHEN ELLSWORTH, et al., as	Case No. C12-2506-LB	
	individuals and as representatives of the	Cuse 110. C12 2500 LB	
21	classes and on behalf of the general public,	DEFENDANTS' JOINDER IN MOTION	
22	Plaintiff,	FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS	
	i miniii,	ACTION SETTLEMENT	
23	v.	Hearing Date: September 24, 2015	
24	U.S. BANK, N.A., et al.,	Time: 9:30 a.m.	
	U.S. Druvik, 1v.r., et al.,	Courtroom: C	
25	Defendants.	Judge: Honorable Laurel Beeler	
26			
27			

submit this joinder in Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, ECF No. 301.* The Settlement meets all requirements of Rule 23(e) for approval because the notice plan satisfies all Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2), (d)(1)(B), (e)(1) and constitutional due process requirements since both the form and means of distribution of the Class Notices were and are reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise Settlement Class members of the pendency of the Actions and afford them an opportunity to present their objections or exclude themselves from the Settlement. In addition, the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate because it requires Defendants to: (1) provide monetary relief to Settlement Class members equal to, and in many cases greater than, the damages alleged in connection with Lender-Placed Flood Insurance placed during the Class Periods; and (2) prospectively modify certain business practices that will provide substantial benefits to the Settlement Class.

Defendants American Security Insurance Company and U.S. Bank National Association

Significantly, the Settlement's terms were achieved notwithstanding the legally tenuous nature of the Released Claims, see, e.g., Rothstein v. Balboa Ins. Co., 794 F.3d 256 (2d Cir. 2015); Feaz v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 745 F.3d 1098 (11th Cir. 2014); Cohen v. Am. Sec. Ins. Co., 735 F.3d 601, 608 (7th Cir. 2013); Cannon v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Case No. 3:12-cv-01376-EMC, 2013 WL 3388222 (N.D. Cal. July 5, 2013), and Plaintiffs' likely inability to maintain certification of multiple litigation classes, see, e.g., Rapp v. Green Tree Servicing LLC, 302 F.R.D. 505 (D. Minn. 2014); Gustafson v. BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, 294 F.R.D. 529 (C.D. Cal. 2013); Kunzelmann v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., No. 11-81373, 2013 WL 139913 (S.D. Fla. Jan. 10, 2013); Gordon v. Chase Home Finance, LLC, No. 11-2001, 2013 WL 436445 (M.D. Fla. Feb. 5, 2013). Without the Settlement, Settlement Class members faced the very real risk that they would receive little or no recovery. See Casey v. Citibank, N.A., No. 12-820, 2014 WL 4120599, at *2 (N.D.N.Y. Aug. 21, 2014) (approving similar lender-placed insurance class settlement and noting that "actual

^{*} Defendants express no opinion regarding, and therefore do not join in (i) Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval insofar as Plaintiffs contend that the classes and subclasses satisfy the criteria of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) or (b); or (ii) Plaintiffs' Motion for Approval of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, and Class Representative Service Awards, ECF No. 298.

- 1			
1	risk" in plaintiffs' claims, "not the amorphous collusion suggested by the objectors, is the more		
2	likely motivation for the timing and structure of the proposed settlement").		
3	WHEREFORE, the Court should approve and find the Settlement fair, reasonable, and		
4	adequate.		
5	Dated: September 8, 2015	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT, P.A.	
6 7		By: <u>/s/ Peter S. Hecker</u> PETER S. HECKER	
8		Attorneys for Defendant AMERICAN SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY	
10	Dated: September 8, 2015	DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP	
11 12		By: /s/ Skip Durocher SKIP DUROCHER (admitted pro hac vice)	
13		Attorneys for Defendant U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION	
14			
15	FILER'S ATTESTATION		
16	of the document has been obtained from the other signatory to this document.		
17 18			
19	Dated: September 8, 2015	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP	
20		By: /s/ Peter S. Hecker PETER S. HECKER	
21 22		TETER S. TILCRER	
23			
24	102053127.1		
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	